Dendrite growth increased by visual activity requires NMDA receptor and Rho GTPases
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Previous studies suggest that neuronal activity may guide the development of synaptic connections in the central nervous system through mechanisms involving glutamate receptors and GTPase-dependent modulation of the actin cytoskeleton. Here we demonstrate by in vivo time-lapse imaging of optic tectal cells in Xenopus laevis tadpoles that enhanced visual activity driven by a light stimulus promotes dendritic arbor growth. The stimulus-induced dendritic arbor growth requires glutamate-receptor-mediated synaptic transmission, decreased RhoA activity and increased Rac and Cdc42 activity. The results delineate a role for Rho GTPases in the structural plasticity driven by visual stimulation in vivo.

We used in vivo time-lapse imaging of single optic tectal neurons in Xenopus tadpoles to test the function of visual activity in neuronal development. We compared the dendritic arbor growth rates of individual tectal neurons during a 4-h period with a visual stimulus to a preceding 4-h period in the absence of light. This imaging protocol allows the comparison of dendritic arbor structures of the same neurons over time and therefore provides a sensitive measure of structural plasticity. Visual stimulation significantly enhanced dendritic arbor elaboration compared with growth rates in the preceding 4-h period in the dark (Fig. 1a, b; see also Supplementary Table 1). Neurons from animals exposed to visual stimulus throughout the 8-h protocol maintained a constant rate of dendritic arbor elaboration (Fig. 1b; see also Supplementary Fig. 1 and Table 1). This indicates that growth rates do not change with longer periods of stimulation. Another set of animals was exposed to visual stimulus within the 0–4-h period and then returned to the dark...
for the second 4-h period. Notably, the enhanced growth rates of these neurons in response to light stimulation were maintained during the 4-h dark period (Fig. 1b; see also Supplementary Fig. 1). This suggests that exposure to visual stimulation triggers mechanisms that enhance dendritic arbor growth over a period following termination of the stimulus.

Although the experimental protocol was designed to detect structural changes within individual neurons, we also detect a significant increase in the growth rate of neurons from animals exposed to visual stimulation during the first 4 h of the experiment (68.3 ± 12.1 µm, n = 16) compared with the growth rate of neurons from animals placed in the dark for the initial 4 h (35.4 ± 6.0 µm, n = 84, P < 0.002). This indicates that the increased growth rate is due to the visual stimulus rather than...
a reaction to the 4 h in the dark.

The light-induced increase in dendritic arbor growth rate was blocked by exposure to APV (3-amino-phosphonovaleric acid; Fig. 1a, c) and CNQX (6-cyano-nitroquinazoline-2,3-dione; Supplementary Fig. 1) during visual stimulation. These antagonists block NMDAR (N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors) and AMPAR (α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionate receptors), respectively. Therefore glutamate-receptor-dependent signalling is necessary for light-induced arbor elaboration.

Dendritic arbors grow through the selective stabilization of a fraction of newly added branches and the extension of those branches. Visual stimulation significantly increased the number of new branches detected after 4 h (Fig. 1d, e; see also Supplementary Table 2). APV blocked the light-induced increase in branch additions and increased the rate of branch retractions (Fig. 1d; see also Supplementary Table 2). Newly added branches account for the increased branch length seen with light stimulation (Fig. 1e). Total branch length from branch additions and extensions is significantly greater when animals are exposed to light, compared with dark or compared with animals exposed to light in the presence of APV. The data indicate that visual stimulation enhances arbor growth by stabilizing newly added branches and suggest a role of NMDA receptors in activity-induced branch initiation and stabilization.

The time course of the effect of visual stimulation on dendritic arbor dynamics was analysed by collecting two-photon microscope images of individual green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing tectal neurons at 1-h intervals over a period of 8 h (Fig. 2a). This stimulation and imaging protocol led to a significant light-induced increase in dendritic arbor growth rate and branch-tip number (Fig. 2d, g), as seen when animals were imaged three times over 8 h (Fig. 1). Despite the continuous addition and retraction of branches throughout 8 h (Fig. 2c, f), arbors showed a net increase in growth rate and branch-tip number during light stimulation, but not over...
4 h in the dark (Fig. 2e, h). The change in branch length and branch-tip number is significantly greater by the second hour of visual stimulation than the change seen over 4 h in the dark. Five out of six neurons increased branch-length growth rates and branch-tip number in the first hour of visual stimulation compared with the previous hour in the dark (Fig. 2i, j). By contrast, most of the neurons decreased branch rates and branch dynamics during the first and second time windows in the dark (Fig. 2i, j). These data indicate that dendritic arbors respond within the first hour to visual stimulation with an increase in branch length and branch-tip number. The continued increase in branch-tip number and branch length with each hour of visual stimulation indicates that the system does not adapt to the visual stimulus over 4 h of visual stimulation.

Neuronal arbor elaboration requires the reorganization of the cytoskeleton. The Rho family of small GTPases regulates actin dynamics20 and has been implicated in the control of neuronal morphogenesis15. Regulators and effectors of small GTPases such as kalirin-7, SynGAP and citron associate with NMDA receptors, providing potential biochemical links between NMDAR activity and GTPase-mediated regulation of cytoskeletal dynamics2-16. However, no study has yet demonstrated a role for Rho GTPases under physiological conditions that control dendritic arbor development. Therefore we investigated the contribution of the Rho family of GTPases to the signalling mechanisms underlying activity-induced dendritic growth.

We have used recently an in situ binding assay to demonstrate that stimulation of the optic nerve significantly decreases endogenous RhoA activity and increases endogenous Rac activity in the optic tectum18. These changes require glutamate receptor activity. Here, we tested whether increased Rac and Cdc42 and decreased RhoA activity are required for light-induced dendritic arbor elaboration by collecting time-lapse images in vivo of optic tectal neurons co-expressing GFP and either constitutively active or dominant-negative forms of Rho GTPases. Constitutively active RhoAV14 blocked the light-induced dendritic arbor development that is seen in GFP-expressing neurons (Fig. 3a–c). These data indicate that RhoA activity inhibits activity-dependent dendritic arbor growth. They also suggest that visual stimulation promotes arbor growth by inhibiting RhoA, consistent with previous studies showing that decreased RhoA activity increases dendritic arbor elaboration4. If light stimulation activated a parallel pathway promoting dendritic arbor elaboration that is independent of RhoA activity, one would predict a synergistic effect of visual stimulation and expression of dominant-negative RhoAN19; however, light stimulation did not increase further dendritic arbor elaboration induced by dominant-negative RhoAN19 (Fig. 3a–c). This occlusion of light-induced dendritic arbor growth by dominant-negative RhoAN19 suggests that endogenous RhoA activity is relatively high in dendrites with slow growth rates and that decreasing RhoA activity increases dendritic arbor development. Therefore, in combination with our findings that optic nerve stimulation decreases endogenous RhoA activity29, these data suggest that RhoA is a downstream component of the light-induced pathway controlling dendritic arbor elaboration.

RhoA has been reported to negatively regulate dendrite outgrowth through ROK (also known as ROCK), a RhoA-binding kinase23. Other potential RhoA effectors are Dia, protein kinase N, citron kinase, Lim kinase-1 and PKR2 (refs 17, 18). Expression of constitutively active ROK inhibited light-induced dendritic arbor growth (Fig. 3b, c). This suggests that the inhibition of activity-dependent dendritic arbor growth by RhoAV14 is at least partially mediated by enhanced ROK activity, consistent with the idea that light-induced arbor elaboration occurs when both RhoA and ROK activities are low.

Previous experiments4 and experiments included here indicate that Rac activity controls rates of branch additions and retractions. Our in situ GTPase assays indicate that decreasing Rac activity increases Rac activity. This suggests that the increase in branch-tip number seen with inhibition of RhoA activity is probably due to activation of Rac. These data also suggest that regulation of branch dynamics is affected primarily by Rac activity, and that RhoA can alter Rac-mediated branch dynamics in vivo.

Tectal neurons expressing dominant-negative Rac17 and Cdc42N17 failed to respond to light stimulus with the increase in branch-tip number and branch length seen in GFP-expressing (EGFP) neurons (Fig. 4a–c; see also Supplementary Table 3). These data indicate that activation of Rac and Cdc42 is required for increased arbor elaboration in response to visual stimulation. Neurons expressing constitutively active RacV12 failed to show the significant light-induced increase in branch-tip number seen with EGFP controls (Fig. 4b, c). Although this is consistent with our observations that expression of constitutively active RacV12 increases Rho activity3, which inhibits dendritic arbor growth, we cannot exclude the possibility that cycling of Rac from the GTP-bound to GDP-bound form may be required for its optimum activity29. Rac/GTPase expression also affects arbor development in the absence of visual stimulation. Constitutively active RhoAV14 inhibited rates of branch additions and dendritic arbor growth in the dark compared with GFP-expressing control neurons (P < 0.05; Mann–Whitney U-test), whereas constitutively active ROK did not (Fig. 3b, c). Neurons expressing dominant-negative Cdc42N17 or dominant-negative Rac17 had significantly decreased rates of arbor growth and changes in branch-tip number compared with GFP-expressing control neurons (P < 0.05; Fig. 4a–c). These neurons could be imaged and appeared healthy for up to 10 days, indicating that expression of these mutants was not toxic. These observations are consistent with previous results4,11 and support the idea that the Rho GTPases are positioned at a bottleneck of multiple signal transduction pathways affecting neuronal structural3.
constantly. Light exposure during the 4-h period in the absence of visual stimulation was not significantly affect dendritic growth (relative growth rate: 1.34). Branch-tip number of the dendritic arbors by reconstructing the whole dendritic arbor in C1 and recombinant EGFP–GTPase plasmids in a 4:1 (GTPase:GFP) ratio. Confocal on or off evokes synaptic currents in tectal cells of Xenopus on and off sequentially to create a simulated motion stimulus, with 1 s of darkness between the row of LEDs turned on and remained on for 1 s at a frequency of 0.2 Hz. The rows turned on or off evokes synaptic currents in tectal cells can respond to repeated stimulation of the same visual stimulus. Our results indicate that visual stimulation affects structural plasticity in vivo, through NMDA receptor-induced intracellular signaling events mediated by the Rho GTPases.

**Methods**

**Image acquisition**

Tadpoles were anesthetized with 0.02% 3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester (MS222, Sigma) in Steinberg’s solution for all procedures. Single tectal neurons were labelled by ionophoresis of Dil® or by electroporation with pEGFP-C1 (Clontech), or with pEGFP-C1 and recombinant EGFP–GTPase plasmids in a 4:1 (GTPase:GFP) ratio. Confocal images were collected through a × 40 Nikon oil immersion lens (1.3 NA) at 2-μm steps through the entire z-dimension of labelled neurons. Two-photon images were collected at 1.5-μm steps with a custom-built microscope based on a modified Olympus Fluoview confocal scan box mounted on an Olympus BX50WI microscope with a Tsunami immersion objective (0.8 NA). Two-photon optical sections were an average of three confocal scan box mounted on an Olympus BX50WI microscope with a Tsunami immersion objective (0.8 NA). Two-photon optical sections were an average of three confocal scan box mounted on an Olympus BX50WI microscope with a Tsunami immersion objective (0.8 NA). Two-photon optical sections were an average of three confocal scan box mounted on an Olympus BX50WI microscope with a Tsunami immersion objective (0.8 NA). Two-photon optical sections were an average of three confocal scan box mounted on an Olympus BX50WI microscope with a Tsunami immersion objective (0.8 NA).

**Visual stimulus**

Albino Xenopus laevis tadpoles were reared in ambient light until stage 46–47. Tadpoles have a functional visual system from stage 39/40 onward, in which retinal ganglion cell axons make glutamate-receptor-mediated synaptic connections with dendrites of tectal cells. Retinal ganglion cells respond well to a light on/light off stimulus. A 2 s step of light on or off evokes synaptic currents in tectal cells of Xenopus tadpoles. On the basis of these response properties, freely swimming tadpoles were placed individually in wells of a 12-well plate in a black Plexiglas chamber with a 3 × 4 panel of green light-emitting diodes (LEDs; λmax = 567 nm, AND191GCP; Allied Electronics) on the top of the chamber. Each row of LEDs turned on and remained on for 1 s at a frequency of 0.2 Hz. The rows turned on or off sequentially to create a simulated motion stimulus, with 1 s of darkness between each cycle. Xenopus tadpole tectal cells can respond to repeated stimulation of the same region of retina without apparent adaptation. In our experiments, adaptation of tectal cells to the stimulus is not likely to be significant because the animals are swimming freely during the stimulation period, so the apparent location and direction of the stimulus vary constantly. Light exposure during the 4-h period in the absence of visual stimulation was kept to a minimum, but animals were exposed to some light during imaging. Some animals were exposed to 100 μCi ATP or 20 μM CNQX during visual stimulation. MK801, another NMDA receptor antagonist, had a similar inhibitory effect on light-induced growth to that seen with ATP (relative growth rate: 0.94 ± 0.06). Treatment of tectal cells with APV while the animals were in the dark for 4 h did not significantly affect dendritic growth (relative growth rate: 1.34 ± 0.5, n = 9, P = 0.7).

**Image analysis**

We used a 4-h imaging protocol on the basis of our previous studies which showed that 4 h is sufficiently long to detect quantifiable increases in dendritic arbor branch length and branch-tip number, but short enough so that images of arbors from sequential time points can be reliably superimposed. We measured the total dendritic branch length (TDBL) and branch-tip number of the dendritic arbors by reconstructing the whole dendritic arbor in three dimensions using Object Image (http://simon.bio.uva.nl/object-image.html). The software computed the TDBL and branch-tip number using custom macros written by F.S.19 Fractals are expressed as mean and standard error. Statistical analysis was done with Mann–Whitney U nonparametric statistical analysis and Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Analysis was performed blind to the type of treatment. Between 9 and 24 neurons per treatment were analysed, unless stated otherwise.

**Construction of expression plasmids of GTPase mutants**

Fragments (600 base pairs) containing the full coding sequence of Rac1N7, Cdc42N17, RhoAN19 and RhoV14 were cloned in-frame into pEGFP-C1 (Clontech Laboratories) and expressed as GFP-fusion proteins.19,20 Received 10 April; accepted 25 June 2002; doi:10.1038/nature00987.
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ERAAP customizes peptides for MHC class I molecules in the endoplasmic reticulum

Thomas Servold, Federico Gonzalez, Jennifer Kim, Richard Jacob & Nilabh Shastri

Division of Immunology, Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720-3200, USA

The ability of killer T cells carrying the CD8 antigen to detect tumours or intracellular pathogens requires an extensive display of antigenic peptides by major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I molecules on the surface of potential target cells. These peptides are derived from almost all intracellular proteins and reveal the presence of foreign pathogens and mutations. How cells produce thousands of distinct peptides cleaved to the precise lengths required for binding different MHC class I molecules remains unknown. The peptides are cleaved from endogenously synthesized proteins by the proteasome in the cytoplasm and then trimmed by an unknown aminopeptidase in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Here we identify ERAAP, the aminopeptidase associated with antigen processing in the ER. ERAAP has a broad substrate specificity, and its expression is strongly upregulated by interferon-γ. Reducing the expression of ERAAP through RNA interference prevents the trimming of peptides for MHC class I molecules in the ER and greatly reduces the expression of MHC class I molecules on the cell surface. Thus, ERAAP is the missing link between the products of cytosolic processing and the final peptides presented by MHC class I molecules on the cell surface.

To identify the ER aminopeptidase, we used detergent to solubilize microsomes from mouse liver and spleens. Ion exchange chromatography of solubilized microsomes showed a predominant peak of activity that was blocked by the aminopeptidase inhibitor leucinethiol (Fig. 1a). This activity was purified by several chromatographic steps (Methods). The highly enriched fractions from the final purification step contained one main band with a relative molecular mass (Mr) of roughly 100,000 (100K) on a SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) gel stained with Coomassie blue (Fig. 1b). We subjected this band to in-gel trypsin digestion followed by matrix-assisted laser-desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry and used the resulting trypsin peptide fingerprint to search the National Center for Biotechnology Information database. Two independent experiments, 25 masses matched the predicted output of trypsin-digested murine adipocyte-derived leucine aminopeptidase (accession code AF227511). This assignment was verified with the amino acid sequences of four peptides determined by high-energy collision-induced dissociation tandem mass spectrometry analysis (Fig. 1c). This murine aminopeptidase of 930 amino acids and its probable human and rat orthologues are also known as puromycin-insensitive leucyl-specific aminopeptidase. On the basis of our results, we hereafter refer to this enzyme as ERAAP (for ER aminopeptidase associated with antigen processing) to designate its intracellular location and function in trimming peptides in the MHC class I antigen processing pathway. ERAAP is a member of the M1 family of zinc metalloproteases that are defined by a highly conserved His-Glu-X-X-His-X18-Glu motif in the core peptidase unit (ref. 16 and Fig. 1c). The amino terminus contains a hydrophobic leader sequence, which indicated that it might be cotranslationally translocated into the ER. Hydrophobicity plots did not predict any other transmembrane domains, and there were no other obvious motifs to predict its intracellular location. To determine its location, therefore, we first transfected COS cells with the murine ERAAP complementary DNA and carried out western blot analysis with the whole-cell lysates and the culture supernatants. A single band close to the predicted molecular mass was found in the supernatant, confirming the translocation of ERAAP into the ER lumen.